

## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <a href="http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content">http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content</a>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Indiana. Held, that the notes have not a taxable situs in Indiana. Buck v. Beach, 206 U. S. 392. See Notes, p. 50.

TITLE OWNERSHIP AND POSSESSION—POSSESSION OF CONTENTS OF RECEPTACLE.—After seizure of his goods under a writ of *fieri facias* the judgment debtor, without the knowledge of the sheriff, placed a sum of money in a piece of the furniture seized. Shortly afterwards he died insolvent. The sheriff having exercised no control over the money, the official receiver claimed it for the estate. *Held*, that the money has been placed in possession of the sheriff so that he is entitled to it. *Johnson* v. *Pickering*, [1907] 2 K. B. 437.

To constitute a valid levy on personal property, the American courts are not so strict as the English in demanding actual seizure by the sheriff, yet both agree that the chattel must be reduced to the legal possession of the officer. Blades v. Arundale, I. M. & S. 711; Minor v. Herriford, 25 Ill. 344. Possession of a chattel is not necessarily identical with possession of its receptacle. To possess the contents a man must know of its existence, or at least consent to assume control of whatever the receptacle may contain. Merry v. Green, 7 M. & W. 623; Durfee v. Jones, II R. I. 588. And if the possessor of the receptacle exercises no control over the chattel, possession depends on the intent of the person placing the chattel in the receptacle. Commonwealth v. Ryan, 155 Mass. 523. In the principal case it seems difficult to work out possession of the money in the sheriff. He was wholly unaware of its existence, and he certainly did not consent to accept responsibility for everything the debtor might place in the furniture. Furthermore, the debtor placed the money in the receptacle with intent to keep control, and its possession, therefore, remained in him notwithstanding the sheriff's possession of the furniture.

TREASON — RESIDENT ALIEN'S DUTY OF ALLEGIANCE. — The petitioner, a citizen of the South African Republic, was domiciled in Natal. When that portion of Natal had been evacuated by the British army and occupied by the Boer forces for some months, he joined the latter. Held, that he is guilty of high treason. De Jager v. Attorney-General of Natal, [1907] A. C. 326.

high treason. De Jager v. Attorney-General of Natal, [1907] A. C. 326.

A citizen who renounces his allegiance and joins the enemy during war is guilty of high treason. Rex v. Lynch, [1903] I K. B. 444. An alien also owes a special allegiance to the state and may be guilty of high treason. See Carlisle v. United States, 16 Wall. (U. S.) 147; Rex v. De la Motte, I East P. C. 53. The present case extends the rule applied to citizens to an alien so long as he remains domiciled, even during his natural sovereign's temporary occupancy of the place of domicile. However strong the decision may appear, there seems no authority for the petitioner's contention that, with the temporary withdrawal of the state's forces, its sovereignty ceases. A belligerent's authority in occupied territory is a manifestation of the stress he puts on his enemy, and the sovereign's rights remain intact. HALL, INTERNAT. LAW, 3 ed., 468. Hence it would seem that wrongs done during the foreign occupation are afterwards cognizable by the ordinary courts. Furthermore, the consideration suggested by the court, that an opposite decision would permit aliens to take such an intolerable advantage of the hospitality extended to them as to swell a small invading force to a large army, seems unanswerable.

TRUSTS — RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF THIRD PARTIES — CESTUI ESTOPPED BY TRUSTEE'S MISREPRESENTATION. — A trustee with power of sale gave a deed of trust property containing a recital of full payment of the purchase price, as security for a personal debt. The creditor, with notice of the trust, deposited the deed by way of equitable mortgage with the defendant, who had no notice of the trust or of non-payment. Held, that the equities of the cestui qui trust and the equitable mortgage are equal in all other respects, and that of the cestui being prior in time prevails. Capell v. Winter, [1907] 2 Ch. 376. See Notes, p. 53.

WITNESSES — PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATIONS — WAIVER BY COMMISSION TO TAKE TESTIMONY. — The plaintiff caused a commission to be issued for the examination of her physician. On the defendant's offering the deposition